| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary   | Application No.                | Applicant(s)              |
|--|--------------------------------|---------------------------|
|  | 10/043,066                     | BISSEN, DAVID R.          |
|  | Examiner                       | Art Unit                  |
|  | Korie H. Chan                  | 3632                      |
| All Participants: Status of Application: <u>allowed</u>  |                                |                           |
| (1) Korie H. Chan.   | (3)                            |                           |
| (2) <u>Alan Koenck</u> .   | (4)                            |                           |
| Date of Interview: <u>14 June 2006</u>   | Time:                          |                           |
| Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:   |                                |                           |
| Part I.  |                                |                           |
| Rejection(s) discussed:  |                                |                           |
| Claims discussed:  Prior art documents discussed:  |                                |                           |
| Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  |                                |                           |
| See Continuation Sheet   |                                |                           |
| Part III.  |                                |                           |
| <ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul> |                                |                           |
|  |                                |                           |
|  |                                |                           |
|  |                                |                           |
|  |                                |                           |
|  | <del></del>                    |                           |
| hori (h  |                                |                           |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant  | 'Applicant's Representative Si | gnature - if appropriate) |

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It was brought to the attention of the examiner that the previous notice of allowance had a typographical error where allowed claims "1-14 and 23-31" should have been listed as "1-14 and 23-37". Examiner agreed and the attached supplemental notice of allowance has thus reflected the correction.